www.rsc.org/dalton

# Chemistry of monovalent and bivalent rhenium: synthesis, structure, isomer specificity and metal redox of azoheterocycle complexes

# Indranil Chakraborty, "Suman Sengupta," Samir Das, "Sangeeta Banerjee" and Animesh Chakravorty \*a,b

<sup>a</sup> Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science, Kolkata 700 032, India. E-mail: icac@mahendra.iacs.res.in

<sup>b</sup> Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research, Bangalore 560064, India

Received 27th August 2002, Accepted 7th November 2002 First published as an Advance Article on the web 3rd December 2002

The reaction of  $[Re^{V}O(OEt)X_{3}(PPh_{3})_{2}]$  (X = Cl, Br, I) with 2-(arylazo)-1-methylimidazoles (aryl = Ph(L<sup>1</sup>), C\_{6}H\_{4}Me $p(L^2)$  or C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Cl- $p(L^3)$ ) as well as 2-(p-tolylazo)-1-benzylimidazole (L<sup>4</sup>) in toluene has afforded the orange coloured bis chelates of type  $[Re^{II}X_2(L)_2]$ . The green coloured tris chelates  $[Re^{II}(L)_3]ReO_4$  are formed when the ligand is used in excess. Similar bis and tris chelates have also been synthesized by reacting [ReOCl<sub>3</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] with 2-(arylazo)pyridines  $(aryl = Ph(L^5), C_6H_4Cl-p(L^6))$ . Structure determination of  $[ReCl_2(L^2)_2], [ReI_2(L^4)_2]$  and  $[ReCl_2(L^6)_2]$  has revealed that the isomeric geometry for the XX-N<sup>h</sup>N<sup>h</sup>-N<sup>a</sup>N<sup>a</sup> donor sites (N<sup>h</sup>, heterocyclic nitrogen; N<sup>a</sup>, azo nitrogen) is uniformly cis-trans-cis. In the structure of  $[Re(L)_3]ReO_4$  the tris chelate has facial geometry. The isomer preference of both families is exclusive, no other isomer having been observed in any of the preparations. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the tris chelates are consistent with the facial geometry. The bis chelates are one-electron paramagnets and display wellresolved EPR sextets in fluid solutions. The cyclic voltammetric  $Re^{II}/Re^{II}$  response of  $[Re^{II}X_2(L)_2]$  occurs in the range 0.20–0.50 V vs. SCE in the case of the azoimidazole chelates and in the range 0.60–0.70 V in the case of the azopyridine chelates. In the case of  $[Re^{I}(L)_{3}]^{+}$  the  $Re^{II}/Re^{I}$  couple is observed near 0.50 and 0.90 V for the azoimidazole and azopyridine species respectively. The average Re-N<sup>a</sup> distance is generally shorter than the Re-N<sup>h</sup> distance by  $\sim 0.1$  Å and the average N–N length is longer by  $\sim 0.1$  Å compared to that of uncoordinated azo function. Strong d(Re)– $\pi^*(azo)$  back-bonding characterize the present systems. Both back-bonding and steric factors stabilize the cis-trans-cis isomer of  $[ReX_2(L)_2]$ . In facial  $[Re(L_3)]^+$  the net back-bonding is strong enough to offset the disadvantage of steric crowding.

## Introduction

This work stems from our interest in variable valent rhenium chemistry<sup>1</sup> and deals specifically with the bivalent and monovalent states of the metal. Compared to trivalent osmium and to a lesser extent tetravalent iridium, bivalent rhenium is a rarely observed 5d<sup>5</sup> oxidation state.<sup>2</sup> Excluding metal-metal bonded species<sup>3</sup> most structurally characterized rhenium(II) complexes incorporate nitrosyl/thionitrosyl<sup>4</sup> or tertiary phosphine<sup>5-7</sup> binding. The only non-nitrosyl and non-phosphine species of known structure are the tris bipyridine<sup>8</sup> and trithiacyclononane chelates.<sup>9,10</sup> In case of rhenium(I),  $\pi$ -acidic ligands such as carbon monoxide, isonitriles, and tertiary phosphines are required for stabilization<sup>2,11</sup> and the chemistry of the  $[\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3]^+$  moiety is attracting significant activity.  $^{\tilde{12}}$  In the design of rhenium containing radiopharmaceuticals, higher oxidation states (specially +5) of the metal are commonly employed, but the lower states (+1, +2) are receiving increasing attention.10,13

Our previous work on azoheterocycle complexes of rhenium<sup>14-17</sup> has prompted us to scrutinize the possible stabilization of bivalent and monovalent states by such ligands. Herein we report the synthesis and characterization of bis and tris chelates of the coordination types  $[Re^{II}X_2(NN)_2]$  (X = Cl, Br, I) and  $[Re^{I}(NN)_3]^+$  which, to our knowledge, are unprecedented in rhenium chemistry. The structure, magnetism, and spectra of the two families are examined and the systematics of metal reduction potentials analyzed. A remarkable feature of each family is its exclusive occurrence in a single isomeric form. The origin of this geometrical selectivity is scrutinized.

# **Results and discussion**

#### Synthesis

The azoheterocycle ligands (general abbreviation, L) employed in the present work are imidazoles  ${}^{14,18,19}$  L<sup>1</sup>–L<sup>4</sup> and pyridines,  ${}^{16}$  L<sup>5</sup> and L<sup>6</sup>. Twelve bis chelates (types 1 and 2) and five tris chelates (types 3 and 4) have been synthesized. The lone benzyl complex 1j is included in this study as it afforded single crystals unlike the other iodo species.

$L^{1}(R = Me, R' = H)$	$L^{5}\left( R^{\prime}=H\right)$
$L^{2}(R = Me, R' = Me)$	$L^{6}(R'=Cl)$
$L^{3}$ ( R = Me, R' = Cl )	
$L^4$ ( R = CH <sub>2</sub> Ph, R' = Me )	

The orange coloured type **1** complexes are afforded in good yield upon reacting  $[\text{Re}^{V}O(\text{OEt})X_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$  (X = Cl, Br, I) with L in 1 : 2.5 molar ratio in toluene followed by chromatographic workup of the reaction mixture. In this synthesis the initial reduction of the metal ( $\text{Re}^{V} \rightarrow \text{Re}^{III}$ ) occur *via* oxygen atom transfer from  $\text{Re}^{V}O$  to PPh<sub>3</sub>, eqn. (1).

$$[\operatorname{Re}^{v}O(OEt)X_{2}(PPh_{3})_{2}] + L \longrightarrow [\operatorname{Re}^{III}(OPPh_{3})(OEt)X_{2}(L)] + PPh_{3} \quad (1)$$

10.1039/b208338h

Ö

Species of types  $[Re^{III}(OPPh_3)(OEt)X_2(L)]$  have been isolated as intermediates and these are found to react with L in presence of PPh<sub>3</sub> (reducing agent) furnishing 1. Details of one case are given in the Experimental section. In the direct synthesis from  $[Re^VO(OEt)X_2(PPh_3)_2]$  it is not necessary to add PPh<sub>3</sub> because it is already present in the reaction mixture, eqn. (1). The green coloured tris chelates were isolated as perrhenate salts, **3**, by the reaction of  $[Re^VO(OEt)X_2(PPh_3)_2]$  (X = I affords the best yields) with excess (1 : 6) L in acetonitrile. It is unclear how the  $ReO_4^$ anion originate from the starting material but this behaviour has precedence.<sup>4c,8</sup> For the synthesis of the azopyridine chelates **2** and **4** the convenient starting material is  $[ReOCl_3(PPh_3)_2]$ . The chelation reactions were carried out in benzene for both bis and tris chelates.

The geometrical disposition of the XX–N<sup>h</sup>N<sup>h</sup>–N<sup>a</sup>N<sup>a</sup> donor sites (N<sup>h</sup> is heterocyclic nitrogen and N<sup>a</sup> is azo nitrogen) in both 1 and 2 is *cis–trans–cis* as proven by representative structure determination. The other possible geometrical isomers are *cis–cis, trans–cis–cis, cis–trans* and *trans–trans–trans.* Careful chromatographic experiments did not reveal the formation of any of these isomers in the synthetic methods developed here. Dihaloosmium(II)<sup>20–22</sup> and dihaloruthenium(II)<sup>23–26</sup> bis chelates of L are known to occur in isomeric forms: *cis–cis–cis* and/or *trans–cis–cis* in addition to *cis–trans–cis.* 



In 3 and 4 the tris chelates geometry is exclusively facial as proven by structure determination and <sup>1</sup>H NMR results. The facial geometry has a potential threefold axis which can make the three chelate rings exactly equivalent. The meridional isomer which lacks such symmetry has not been observed either in the solid state or in solution. Osmium(II) is isoelectronic with rhenium(I) but tris chelates of type  $[Os(L)_3]^{2+}$  occur predominantly in the meridional form.<sup>20,27</sup>

#### Spectra and magnetism

The bis chelates display a moderately intense band near 500 nm while the tris chelates display two such bands near 600 and 450 nm. These are presumably of MLCT origin. In IR an N=N stretch occurs near 1300 and 1230 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the bis and tris chelates respectively. The latter shows a characteristic strong band near 910 cm<sup>-1</sup> assigned to the v<sub>3</sub> vibration of the ReO<sub>4</sub> <sup>-</sup> anion.<sup>28</sup> Consistent with the *cis*-ReCl<sub>2</sub> disposition, the [ReCl<sub>2</sub>(L)<sub>2</sub>] species uniformly display two Re–Cl stretches near 320 and 330 cm<sup>-1</sup>. In the case of [ReX<sub>2</sub>(L<sup>3</sup>)<sub>2</sub>] the ReX<sub>2</sub> stretches

**Table 1**Cyclic voltammetric formal potentials at 298 K in acetonitrile $(0.1 \text{ M Et}_4\text{NClO}_4)$  at a platinum electrode<sup>a</sup>

Compound	$E_{1/2}/\mathrm{V}\left(\Delta E_\mathrm{p}/\mathrm{mV}\right)$	Compound	$E_{1/2}/V (\Delta E_p/mV)$
 1a	0.46(80)	2a	0.61(160)
1b	0.44(80)	2b	0.70(160)
1c	0.48(80)		
1d	0.43(120)	3a	0.48(80)
1e	0.41(120)	3b	0.46(60)
1f	0.46(120)	3c	0.50(80)
1g	0.25(100)		
1ที่	0.20(60)	<b>4</b> a	0.93(60)
1i	0.27(60)	4b	0.95(80)
1j	0.21(100)		. ,

<sup>*a*</sup> Re<sup>III</sup>/Re<sup>II</sup> couple for **1** and **2** and Re<sup>II</sup>/Re<sup>I</sup> couple for **3** and **4**. Scan rate 50mV s<sup>-1</sup>.  $E_{1/2} = \frac{1}{2}(E_{pa} + E_{pc})$ , where  $E_{pa}$  and  $E_{pc}$  are the anodic and cathodic peak potentials respectively.  $\Delta E_{p} = E_{pa} - E_{pc}$ . Reference electrode, SCE.



were recorded for all three compounds.  $X = C1 (315, 335 \text{ cm}^{-1});$  $X = Br (204, 227 \text{ cm}^{-1}); X = I (174, 188 \text{ cm}^{-1}).$ 

The bis chelates are paramagnetic  $(t_{2g}^5, S = \frac{1}{2})$  with room temperature (300 K) magnetic moments in the range 1.94-2.10  $\mu_{\rm B}$ . In fluid solution (300 K) these display well-resolved EPR spectra with six hyperfine lines due to the I = 5/2 nuclei <sup>185</sup>Re and <sup>187</sup>Re. There is a systematic increase in the separation between the adjacent hyperfine lines in going towards higher fields due to second order effects<sup>29</sup> (range of variable separation, 200-400 G). Center field g values and the average hyperfine splitting A for the complexes span the ranges 2.05-2.13 and 240-315 G respectively (see Experimental section). Variable hyperfine spacing has been observed 1b,c among Re<sup>VI</sup>NAr(t<sub>2g</sub><sup>1</sup>) chelates of picolinamides where the metal oxidation state is higher and the magnitude and span of A(300-700 G) are also larger. The [Re(L)<sub>3</sub>]ReO<sub>4</sub> complexes are diamagnetic and display well-resolved <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra. The three chelate rings are equivalent and give rise to a single set of resonances consistent with facial geometry (3 and 4).

#### Metal redox: chelate ring number and oxidation state

All the complexes are electroactive in acetonitrile solution (Table 1). The azoimidazole bis species display a quasireversible one-electron cyclic voltammetric oxidative response assignable to the Re<sup>III</sup>/Re<sup>II</sup> couple in the range 0.20–0.50 V vs. SCE. For a given X there is a small but systematic shift of the reduction potential to more positive values as the electron withdrawing power of the R' substituent increases (Me < H < Cl). For a given R' the potential decreases as the X ligand becomes softer and its ligand field strength decreases (Cl > Br > I), the effect being more pronounced between the bromo and iodo species. For azopyridine bis chelates the Re<sup>III</sup>/Re<sup>II</sup> reduction potentials is higher, 0.60–0.70 V. Attempted isolation of the oxidized species, [Re<sup>III</sup>X<sub>2</sub>(L)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, via coulometry has not succeeded so far because of their instability. In the tris azoimidazole chelates the oxidative Re<sup>II</sup>/Re<sup>I</sup> couple occur at ~ 0.5 V and is nearly reversible with peak-to-peak separation in the range 60–80 mV. Again the azopyridine species have significantly higher potentials ~0.9 V.

The  $E_{1/2}$  value of a given  $\text{Re}^{Z+1}/\text{Re}^Z$  couple increases progressively with the number of L chelate rings present. Consequently variation of this number along with coligand control provides an excellent handle for stabilizing a range of oxidation states of rhenium under ambient conditions. With monochelation, rhenium(v) and rhenium(III) were found to be stabilized as in [Re<sup>V</sup>OCl<sub>3</sub>(L)], [Re<sup>V</sup>(NAr)Cl<sub>3</sub>(L)], [Re<sup>III</sup>(OPPh<sub>3</sub>)Cl<sub>3</sub>(L)] and [Re<sup>III</sup>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)Cl<sub>3</sub>(L)].<sup>14,16</sup> Bis and tris chelation are now shown to make the bivalent and monovalent states readily accessible in the form of [Re<sup>III</sup>X<sub>2</sub>(L)<sub>2</sub>] and [Re<sup>II</sup>(L)<sub>3</sub>]ReO<sub>4</sub>, respectively.

#### Structures

Among bis chelates the structures of  $[\text{ReCl}_2(\text{L}^2)_2]$ , **1b**;  $[\text{ReI}_2(\text{L}^4)_2]$ , **1j** and  $[\text{ReCl}_2(\text{L}^6)_2]$ , **2b** have been determined. Perspective views are shown in Fig. 1–3 and selected bond parameters are listed in Tables 2 and 3.



Fig. 1 A perspective view of  $[\text{ReCl}_2(L^2)_2]$  1b. The atoms are represented by their 30% thermal probability ellipsoids.

The distorted octahedral  $\text{ReX}_2N_4$  coordination spheres have *cis-trans-cis* geometry. Whereas the angular dimensions in **1b** and **1j** are similar, subtle differences related to halide bulk do occur. Thus, the X1–Re–X2 and N1–Re–N5 angles are 3–4° larger in **1j** than in **1b** resulting in associated changes in the other angles. In all three molecules the chelate ring along with the heterocyclic ring constitute a satisfactory plane.

Among tris chelates  $[Re(L^5)_3]ReO_4$ , **4a** afforded good single crystals. A perspective view is shown in Fig. 4 and selected bond parameters are listed in Table 4.

The ligands are facially disposed in the distorted octahedral  $\text{ReN}_6$  coordination sphere. Each chelate ring along with the pyridine ring constitute a satisfactory plane. The three chelate rings are very closely similar although there is no formal



Fig. 2 A perspective view of  $[\text{ReI}_2(L^4)_2]$  1j. The atoms are represented by their 30% thermal probability ellipsoids.



Fig. 3 A perspective view of  $[\text{ReCl}_2(\text{L}^6)_2]$  2b. The atoms are represented by their 30% thermal probability ellipsoids.

crystallographic threefold axis. In mobile solution, the three chelate rings become exactly equivalent (<sup>1</sup>H NMR). The complex  $[Re(L^3)_3]ReO_4$ , **3c** also afforded single crystals. The X-ray results revealed the overall connectivity and the facial geometry. However, the structure did not refine very well due to crystallographic complications.

#### Bond length, back-bonding and isomer specificity

The average Re–N<sup>a</sup> distance is significantly shorter (by 0.05–0.1 Å) than the Re–N<sup>h</sup> distance in all the four structures. On the other hand the average N–N length is uniformly longer (by 0.07–0.1 Å) than that (1.25 Å)<sup>30</sup> in uncoordinated azo compounds. Clearly strong d(Re)– $\pi^*$ (azo) back-bonding characterizes the present compounds. The excellent  $\pi$ -acceptor character of azoheterocycles originates from low lying  $\pi^*$ (azo) orbitals.<sup>14,16,20,30–32</sup> The average Re–Cl length in **1b** and **2b** is 2.39 Å which is significantly shorter than that (2.42 Å) in compounds of type *trans*-[ReCl<sub>2</sub>(diphosphine)<sub>2</sub>].<sup>7</sup>

Table 2 Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for compounds 1b and 1j

		1b		1j	
Re]	N1	2.057	(7)	2.065(8)	
Re–1	N4	2.007	(8)	2.041(8)	
Re–1	N5	2.046	(8)	2.053(8)	
Re–1	N8	1.999	(8)	2.000(9)	
Re-2	X1	2.381	(3)	2.7214(10)	
Re-2	K2	2.398	(3)	2.7412(12)	
N4-	N3	1.328	(11)	1.339(10)	
N8-	N7	1.345	(11)	1.366(11)	
N8-	Re–N4	89.4	(3)	85.7(3)	
N4-	Re–N5	112.0	(3)	109.7(3)	
N4-	Re–N1	74.5	(3)	74.4(3)	
N8-	Re–X1	93.6	(3)	93.8(2)	
N5-	Re–X1	87.8	(2)	87.6(2)	
N8-	Re–X2	160.4	(2)	160.6(2)	
N5-	Re–X2	86.3	(2)	88.0(3)	
X1-	Re–X2	92.0	4(12)	95.75(4)	
N8-	Re–N5	75.2	(3)	75.6(3)	
N8-	Re–N1	109.0	(3)	106.8(3)	
N5-	Re–N1	172.6	(3)	175.5(3)	
N4-	Re–X1	160.1	(2)	161.8(2)	
N1-	Re–X1	85.9	(2)	88.4(2)	
N4-	Re–X2	91.7	(2)	90.4(2)	
N1-	Re–X2	90.1	(2)	90.3(2)	
Table 3 Selected	l bond dista	inces (Å	) and angl	es (°) for co	mpound <b>2b</b>
Re1–N1	2.069(	11)	Re1–Cl	1	2.382(4)
Re1–N3	2.006(	11)	Re1–Cl	2	2.380(4)
Re1–N4	2.066(	10)	N2-N3		1.319(14)
Re1–N6	1.964(	12)	N5-N6		1.33(2)
N6–Re1–N3	91.3(	(4)	N6–Re	1–N4	74.1(4)
N3-Re1-N4	104.5(	4)	N6–Re	1–N1	100.4(4)
N3-Re1-N1	74.1(	4)	N4–Re	1–N1	174.4(4)
N6-Re1-Cl2	89.1	3)	N3–Re	1–Cl2	166.4(3)



88.7(3)

166.5(3)

92.4(3)

90.5(2)

N4-Re1-Cl2

N6-Re1-Cl1

N4-Re1-Cl1

Cl2-Re1-Cl1

N1-Re1-Cl2

N3-Re1-Cl1

N1-Re1-Cl1

92.5(4)

92.3(3)

93.1(3)

Fig. 4 A perspective view of  $[Re(L^5)_3]ReO_4$  4a. The atoms are represented by their 30% thermal probability ellipsoids.

Table 4 Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for compound 4a

Re1–N1 Re1–N3 Re1–N4	2.111(7) 1.979(7) 2.092(7)	N5–N6 N8–N9 Re2–O1	1.313(10) 1.321(10) 1.705(9)
Re1–N6	1.991(8)	Re2–O1 Re2–O2	1.702(8)
Rel-N7 Rel N0	2.103(7) 1.986(7)	Re2–O3 Re2_O4	1.709(9) 1.702(8)
N2–N3	1.337(10)	Kc2-04	1.702(8)
N3–Re1–N9	96.7(3)	N3-Re1-N6	96.5(3)
N9-Re1-N6	96.7(3)	N3-Re1-N4	165.2(3)
N9-Re1-N4	95.8(3)	N6–Re1–N4	74.1(3)
N3-Re1-N7	96.5(3)	N9-Re1-N7	73.9(3)
N6-Re1-N7	164.7(3)	N4–Re1–N7	94.6(3)
N3-Re1-N1	74.3(3)	N9-Re1-N1	164.7(3)
N6-Re1-N1	96.6(3)	N4–Re1–N1	95.1(3)
N7–Re1–N1	94.5(3)	O4–Re2–O2	109.0(5)
O4-Re2-O1	109.9(6)	O2-Re2-O1	109.4(6)
O4–Re2–O3	109.7(6)	O2-Re2-O3	109.5(6)
O1-Re2-O3	109.3(5)		

The *cis* (as opposed to *trans*) disposition of two N<sup>a</sup> donor sites ensures lack of competition between them for the same metal d-orbital thus maximizing back-bonding. This happens in the *cis-trans-cis* geometry of **1** and **2**. This geometry is also sterically more favourable than the other two possible but unobserved isomers (*cis-cis-cis* and *trans-cis-cis*) having the *cis* ReN<sup>a</sup>N<sup>a</sup> disposition. The various isomers of  $[OsX_2(L)_2]^{20-22}$  and  $[RuX_2(L)_2]^{23-26}$  undergo spontaneous thermal conversion to the thermodynamically most stable *cis-trans-cis* form.<sup>23b,26,33</sup> In the case of  $[ReX_2(L)_2]$  this happens to be the only isomer observed.

In facial  $[\text{Re}(L)_3]^+$  the three N<sup>a</sup> atoms are mutually *cis* to one another representing a model situation for back-bonding (three *cis* ReN<sup>a</sup>N<sup>a</sup> interactions). This geometry is, however, more crowded (pendant aryl groups) than the unobserved meridional form which can have only two ReN<sup>a</sup>N<sup>a</sup> interactions. Evidently the strong back-bonding more than offsets the steric disadvantage of the facial form which alone is observed for  $[\text{Re}(L)_3]^+$ .

A comparison of isoelectronic  $[\text{Re}(L)_3]^+$  and  $[\text{Os}(L)_3]^{2^+}$  is in order. The latter occurs primarily in the meridional form.<sup>20</sup> That back-bonding is significantly weaker in  $\text{Os}^{II}-\text{N}^a$  than in Re<sup>I</sup>-N<sup>a</sup> can be indirectly inferred from bond parameter data of bis chelates. In *cis*-*trans*-*cis*  $[\text{OsCl}_2(L^2)_2]$  the average N–N distance is 1.32 Å<sup>22</sup> as compared to 1.35 Å in  $[\text{ReCl}_2(L^2)_2]$ , **1b**. Similarly the N–N distances in azopyridine bis chelates are 1.31<sup>20</sup> and 1.33 Å respectively. The  $\pi$ -basicity order is thus Os<sup>II</sup> < Re<sup>II</sup> which in turn implies the order Os<sup>II</sup> << Re<sup>I</sup>.

#### **Concluding remarks**

The synthesis and structural characterization of the hitherto unreported bivalent and monovalent rhenium coordination types [ $Re^{II}X_2(NN)_2$ ] and [ $Re^{I}(NN)_3$ ] $ReO_4$  have been successfully achieved. An increase in the number of azoheterocycle chelate rings causes a relatively large increase in metal reduction potentials, thus stabilizing lower oxidation states: bis chelation affords rhenium(II) and tris chelation rhenium(I).

The bis and tris chelates occur exclusively in the *cis–trans–cis* and facial isomeric forms respectively. The presence of strong  $d(\text{Re})-\pi^*(\text{azo})$  back-bonding in both families is revealed by bond distance data. In the bis chelates the *cis–trans–cis* isomer has the advantage of both maximized back-bonding and minimized steric crowding. In the tris chelates the net back-bonding is strong enough to offset the disadvantage of steric crowding in the facial geometry. The high  $\pi$ -acidity of azoheterocycles is neatly revealed by the present work.

# Experimental

 $[\text{ReOCl}_3(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ ,<sup>34</sup>  $[\text{ReO(OEt)}X_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ ,<sup>35</sup> 2-(arylazo)imidazoles<sup>14,18,19</sup> and 2-(arylazo)pyridines<sup>16</sup> were prepared by reported methods. For electrochemical work HPLC grade acetonitrile was used. All other chemicals and solvents were of reagent grade and were used as received. Spectral measurements were made with following equipment: UV-vis, Shimadzu UV-1601 PC spectrophotometer; IR (KBr and polyethylene disk), Nicolet Magna IR 750 Series II and 550 FAR IR spectrometers; <sup>1</sup>H NMR, Bruker 300 MHz FT spectrometer (proton numbering scheme used is the same as in crystallography); X-band EPR, Varian E-109C spectrometer. Electrochemical measurements were performed under nitrogen atmosphere using a PAR 370-4 electrochemistry system with platinum working electrode.<sup>36</sup> The supporting electrolyte was tetraethylammonium perchlorate (TEAP), and potentials are referenced to the saturated calomel electrode (SCE) without junction correction. Magnetic susceptibilities were measured on a PAR 155 vibrating-sample magnetometer, and the microanalyses (C, H, N) were performed using a Perkin-Elmer 2400 Series II elemental analyzer.

#### Synthesis of complexes

#### Synthesis of azoimidazole [ReX2(L)2] 1 complexes

These complexes were prepared by the same general procedure based on the reaction of  $[\text{ReO}(\text{OEt})X_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$  with L in toluene. Details are given below for a representative case.

[ReCl<sub>2</sub>( $L^1$ )<sub>2</sub>] 1a. To a suspension of [ReO(OEt)Cl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] (100 mg, 0.119 mmol) in 25 cm<sup>3</sup> of toluene was added 56 mg (0.298 mmol) of L<sup>1</sup>. The resulting mixture was heated to reflux for 45 min affording a reddish orange solution. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure, and the mass thus obtained was dissolved in minimum amount of dichloromethane and subjected to chromatography on a silica gel column ( $20 \times 1$  cm, 60-120 mesh). Following elution with pure toluene, reddish orange band was eluted out with tolueneacetonitrile (50:3) mixture. Solvent removal from the eluate under reduced pressure afforded  $[\operatorname{ReCl}_2(L^1)_2]$  in pure form which was dried under vacuo over fused calcium chloride. Yield: 52 mg (70%). (Found: C, 38.21; H, 3.25; N, 17.76. Calc. for  $C_{20}H_{20}N_8Cl_2Re;$  C, 38.16; H, 3.20; N, 17.80.) UV-vis [ $\lambda_{max}/nm$  ( $\epsilon/dm^3~mol^{-1}~cm^{-1}$ ), CH\_2Cl\_2 solution]: 484 (5600) and 373 (10200). IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 320, 330 (Re–Cl), 1300 (N=N).  $\mu_{eff}/\mu_{B}$ , 2.09;  $g(\text{center}), 2.053; A_{av}/G, 312.$ 

**[ReCl<sub>2</sub>(L<sup>2</sup>)<sub>2</sub>] 1b.** (Found: C, 40.24; H, 3.60; N, 17.09. Calc. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>8</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>Re: C, 40.18; H, 3.68; N, 17.04.) UV-vis  $[\lambda_{max}/nm (\epsilon/dm^3 mol^{-1} cm^{-1}), CH_2Cl_2 solution]: 486 (7300), and 345 (12000). IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 317, 320 (Re–Cl), 1300 (N=N). <math>\mu_{eff}/\mu_B$ , 2.10; g(center), 2.078;  $A_{av}/G$ , 304.

[ReCl<sub>2</sub>(L<sup>3</sup>)<sub>2</sub>] 1c. (Found; C, 34.49; H, 2.57; N, 16.12. Calc. for  $C_{20}H_{18}N_8Cl_4Re: C, 34.39; H, 2.60; N, 16.04.$ ) UV-vis [ $\lambda_{max}/nm$  ( $\epsilon/dm^3 mol^{-1} cm^{-1}$ ), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution]: 489 (5700) and 337 (9300). IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 315, 335 (Re–Cl), 1310 (N=N).  $\mu_{eff}/\mu_B$ , 2.10; g (center), 2.066;  $A_{av}/G$ , 315.

**[ReBr<sub>2</sub>(L<sup>1</sup>)<sub>2</sub>] 1d.** The dibromo complexes were synthesized by the same general procedure as described for **1a**. Only [ReO(OEt)Cl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] was replaced by [ReO(OEt)Br<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] in the above synthesis. (Found: C, 33.39; H, 2.73; N, 15.70. Calc. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>8</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>Re: C, 33.44; H, 2.81; N, 15.60.) UV-vis [ $\lambda_{max}$ /nm ( $\epsilon$ /dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution]: 464 (3400) and 352 (6700) IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1300 (N=N).  $\mu_{eff}/\mu_{B}$ , 2.02; g(center), 2.103;  $A_{av}/$ G, 273.

**[ReBr<sub>2</sub>(L<sup>2</sup>)<sub>2</sub>] 1e.** (Found: C, 33.50; H, 3.29; N, 15.09. Calc. for  $C_{22}H_{24}N_8Br_2Re: C, 35.40; H, 3.24; N, 15.01.)$  UV-vis [ $\lambda_{max}$ /nm ( $\epsilon$ /dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution]: 477 (3500) and 342 (6300). IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1290 (N=N).  $\mu_{eff}/\mu_B$ , 1.98; g(center), 2.116;  $A_{av}/G$ , 295.

[**ReBr<sub>2</sub>(L**<sup>3</sup>)<sub>2</sub>] **1f.** (Found: C, 30.46; H, 2.27; N, 14.30. Calc. for  $C_{20}H_{18}N_8Cl_2Br_2Re: C, 30.51; H, 2.30; N, 14.23.)$  UV-vis [ $\lambda_{max}/$  nm ( $\epsilon/dm^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution]: 485 (3700) and 347 (7900). IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 204, 227 (Re–Br), 1300 (N=N).  $\mu_{eff}/\mu_B$ , 1.96; g(center), 2.098;  $A_{av}/G$ , 285.

 $[\mathrm{ReI}_2(\mathrm{L}^1)_2]$  1g. The diiodo complexes were synthesized by the same general procedure as described for 1a. Only [ReO(OEt)-Cl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] was replaced by [ReO(OEt)I<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] for the above synthesis. (Found: C, 29.67; H, 2.58; N, 13.70. Calc. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>8</sub>I<sub>2</sub>Re: C, 29.57; H, 2.48; N, 13.79.) UV-vis [ $\lambda_{\rm max}/\rm{nm}$  (c/dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution]: 525 (3600) and 374 (7600). IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1290 (N=N).  $\mu_{\rm eff}/\mu_{\rm B}$ , 1.95; g (center), 2.117;  $A_{\rm av}/\rm{G}$ , 254.

**[ReI<sub>2</sub>(L<sup>2</sup>)<sub>2</sub>] 1h.** (Found: C, 31.35; H, 2.83; N, 13.40. Calc. for  $C_{22}H_{24}N_8I_2Re:$  C, 31.44; H, 2.88; N, 13.33.) UV-vis [ $\lambda_{max}$ /nm ( $\epsilon$ /dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution]: 533 (1900) and 355 (7500). IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1290 (N=N).  $\mu_{eff}/\mu_B$ , 1.94; g(center), 2.131;  $A_{av}/G$ , 248.

[ReI<sub>2</sub>(L<sup>3</sup>)<sub>2</sub>] 1i. (Found: C, 27.17; H, 2.10; N, 12.80. Calc. for  $C_{20}H_{18}N_8Cl_2I_2Re:$  C, 27.26; H, 2.06; N, 12.71.) UV-vis [ $\lambda_{max}$ /nm ( $\epsilon$ /dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution]: 516 (2000) and 353 (7200). IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 174, 188 (Re–I), 1300 (N=N).  $\mu_{eff}/\mu_B$ , 1.94; g(center), 2.117;  $A_{av}$ /G, 255.

**[ReI<sub>2</sub>(L<sup>4</sup>)<sub>2</sub>] 1j.** (Found: C, 41.22; H, 3.35; N, 11.18. Calc. for  $C_{34}H_{32}N_8I_2Re:$  C, 41.14; H, 3.25; N, 11.29.) UV-vis  $[\lambda_{max}/nm (\epsilon/dm^3 mol^{-1} cm^{-1}), CH_2Cl_2 \text{ solution}]:$  489 (7000) and 351 (12400). IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1280 (N=N).  $\mu_{eff}/\mu_B$ , 1.95; g(center), 2.110;  $A_{av}/G$ , 253.

Synthesis of the intermediate [Re(OPPh<sub>3</sub>)(OEt)Cl<sub>2</sub>(L<sup>3</sup>)]. To a suspension of [ReO(OEt)Cl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] (100 mg, 0.119 mmol) in 25 cm<sup>3</sup> of toluene was added 26 mg (0.119 mmol) of L<sup>3</sup>. The resulting mixture was stirred magnetically for 1 h at room temperature, affording an yellowish orange solution. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure, and the mass thus obtained was dissolved in a minimum amount of dichloromethane and subjected to chromatography on a silica gel column ( $20 \times 1$  cm, 60–120 mesh) in the same manner as in the case of 1a. Solvent removal from the eluate under reduced pressure afforded  $[Re(OPPh_3)(OEt)Cl_2(L^3)]$  in pure form which was dried under vacuo over fused calcium chloride. Yield: 62 mg (65%). (Found: C, 44.87; H, 3.60; N, 7.11. Calc. for  $C_{30}H_{29}N_4Cl_3O_2PRe:$  C, 44.96; H, 3.65; N, 7.00.) UV-vis  $[\lambda_{max}/nm \ (\epsilon/dm^3 \ mol^{-1} \ cm^{-1}), \ CH_2Cl_2 \ solution]: 659 \ (370), 459$ (4500) and 343 (6200). IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1125 (O–P), 924 (O–Et), 1437 (N=N).

**Conversion to**  $[\text{ReCl}_2(L^3)_2]$  **1c.** To a solution of  $[\text{Re}(\text{OPPh}_3)$ -(OEt)Cl<sub>2</sub>(L<sup>3</sup>)] (50 mg, 0.062 mmol) in 25 cm<sup>3</sup> of toluene was added 21 mg (0.093 mmol) of L<sup>3</sup> and 3 mg (0.011 mmol) of PPh<sub>3</sub>. The resulting mixture was heated to reflux for 30 min affording a reddish orange solution. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure and chromatographic work up in the same manner as described for **1a** afforded  $[\text{ReCl}_2(L^3)_2]$  in pure form which was dried under *vacuo* over fused calcium chloride. Yield: 27 mg (60%).

## Synthesis of azopyridine [ReCl<sub>2</sub>(L)<sub>2</sub>] 2 complexes

These complexes were synthesized by the same general procedure based on the reaction of  $[\text{ReOCl}_3(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$  with L in benzene. Details are given below for a representative case.

[ReCl<sub>2</sub>( $L^5$ )<sub>2</sub>] 2a. To a suspension of [ReOCl<sub>3</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] (100 mg, 0.120 mmol) in 25 cm<sup>3</sup> of benzene was added 55 mg (0.300 mmol) of L<sup>5</sup>. The resulting mixture was warmed at 60°C for 10

B Dalton Trans., 2003, 134-140

Table 5	Crystal data	for complexes	1b, 1j,	2b and 4a
---------	--------------	---------------	---------	-----------

Complex	1b	1j	2b	4a
Formula	$C_{22}H_{24}Cl_2N_8Re$	$C_{34}H_{32}I_2N_8Re$	$C_{22}H_{16}Cl_4N_6Re$	$C_{33}H_{27}N_9O_4Re_2$
M	657.59	992.68	692.41	986.04
System	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	C2/c	$P2_1/c$	$P2_1/n$	$C_{c}$
aĺÅ	28.74(2)	10.946(2)	8.532(6)	12.876(10)
b/Å	13.454(4)	18.790(4)	22.793(8)	22.313(10)
c/Å	13.427(5)	17.394(4)	12.417(3)	10.938(6)
$a/^{\circ}$	90	90	90	90
βl°	110.86(4)	99.60(3)	100.22(4)	90.08(6)
$\gamma l^{\circ}$	90	90	90	90
U/Å <sup>3</sup>	4852(4)	3527.4(12)	2377(2)	3143(3)
Z	8	4	4	4
$D/\mathrm{mg}~\mathrm{m}^{-3}$	1.801	1.869	1.935	2.084
T/K	293	293	293	293
$\mu/\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$	5.257	5.231	5.587	7.752
Unique reflections	3604	5257	4165	3733
$R_{\rm int}$	0.0476	0.0376	0.0658	0.0435
Observed reflections $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	2718	3631	2829	3106
$R_1, wR_2 [I > 2\sigma(I)]$	0.0399, 0.1049	0.0483, 0.0955	0.0616, 0.1386	0.0243, 0.0557
All data	0.0642, 0.1297	0.0876, 0.1206	0.1040, 0.1687	0.0388, 0.1160

min affording a reddish orange solution. The solvent was then quickly removed under reduced pressure and the solid mass thus obtained was dissolved in a small amount of dichloromethane and subjected to chromatography on a silica gel column ( $20 \times 1$  cm, 60-120 mesh). Following elution with pure benzene, a reddish orange band was eluted out with benzene-acetonitrile (25 : 2) mixture. Solvent removal from the elute under reduced pressure afforded [ReCl<sub>2</sub>(L<sup>5</sup>)<sub>2</sub>] in pure form which was dried under *vacuo* over fused calcium chloride. Yield: 47 mg (62%). (Found: C, 42.41; H, 2.85; N, 13.41. Calc. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>18</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>N<sub>6</sub>Re: C, 42.38; H, 2.90; N, 13.48.) UV-vis [ $\lambda_{max}/nm$  ( $\epsilon/dm^3$  mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution]: 509 (7400). IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 320, 330 (Re–Cl), 1310 (N=N).  $\mu_{eff}/\mu_{B}$ , 2.10; g(center), 2.104;  $A_{av}/G$ , 250.

[ReCl<sub>2</sub>(L<sup>6</sup>)<sub>2</sub>] 2b. (Found: C, 38.21; H, 2.29; N, 12.17. Calc. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>16</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>N<sub>6</sub>Re: C, 38.16; H, 2.33; N, 12.14.) UV-vis [ $\lambda_{max}/nm$  ( $\varepsilon/dm^3 mol^{-1} cm^{-1}$ ), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution]: 507 (7800). IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 315, 330 (Re–Cl), 1300 (N=N).  $\mu_{eff}/\mu_B$ , 2.09; g(center), 2.079;  $A_{av}/G$ , 248.

#### Synthesis of azoimidazole [Re(L)<sub>3</sub>]ReO<sub>4</sub> 3 complexes

These complexes were prepared by the same general procedure based on the reaction of  $[\text{ReO}(\text{OEt})I_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$  with excess L in acetonitrile solution. Details are given below for a representative case.

 $[\text{Re}(\text{L}^1)_3]$ ReO<sub>4</sub> 3a. To a solution of  $[\text{ReO}(\text{OEt})\text{I}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$  (100) mg, 0.097 mmol) in 15 cm<sup>3</sup> of acetonitrile was added 108 mg (0.582 mmol) of L<sup>1</sup>. The resulting mixture was heated to reflux for 8 h, affording a brownish green solution. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure, and the mass thus obtained was dissolved in minimum amount of dichloromethane and subjected to chromatography on a silica gel column (20  $\times$  1 cm, 60–120 mesh). A deep green band was eluted out with a toluene-acetonitrile (1:1) mixture. The solid obtained after solvent removal from the eluate under reduced pressure was again dissolved in small amount of dichloromethane and the above chromatographic procedure was repeated followed by a second repeat. After solvent removal from the final eluate  $[Re(L^1)_3]ReO_4$  was afforded in pure form. It was dried under vacuo over fused calcium chloride. Yield: 58 mg (60%). (Found: C, 36.27; H, 3.09; N, 16.80. Calc. for  $C_{30}H_{30}N_{12}O_4Re_2$ : C, 36.21; H, 3.04; N, 16.89.) UV-vis [ $\lambda_{max}$ /nm (ɛ/dm³ mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution]: 575 (6800), 448 (11300), 393 (7800) and 313 (9900). IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1235 (N=N), 910(Re-O,  $\text{ReO}_{4}^{-}$ ), <sup>1</sup>H NMR [ $\delta$  (J/Hz), CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution]: MeC<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>, 7.28 (d, 1.8, H1), 6.57 (d, 1.8, H2) and 4.01 (s, N-Me); C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, 7.20 (multiplet, H7, H9), 5.96 (d, 6.8, H6, H10) and 7.20 (multiplet, H8).

**[Re(L<sup>2</sup>)<sub>3</sub>]ReO<sub>4</sub> 3b.** (Found: C, 38.28; H, 3.40; N, 16.13. Calc. for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>36</sub>N<sub>12</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Re<sub>2</sub>: C, 38.22; H, 3.50; N, 16.21.) UV-vis  $[\lambda_{max}/nm (\epsilon/dm^3 mol^{-1} cm^{-1}), CH_2Cl_2 \text{ solution}]$ : 579(8830), 445 (14800), 398 (10500) and 311 (13000). IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1233 (N=N), 909 (Re–O, ReO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR [δ (J/Hz), CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution]: MeC<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>, 7.23 (d, 1.6, H1), 6.50 (d, 1.7, H2) and 3.98 (s, N–Me); C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Me, 6.97 (d, 8.1, H7, H9), 6.01 (d, 8.2, H6, H10) and 2.35 (s, *p*-Me).

[**Re**(**L**<sup>3</sup>)<sub>3</sub>]**ReO**<sub>4</sub> 3c. (Found: C, 32.77; H, 2.53; N, 15.22. Calc. for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>27</sub>N<sub>12</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Re<sub>2</sub>: C, 32.80; H, 2.48; N, 15.30.) UV-vis [ $\lambda_{max}$ /nm (ε/dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution]: 573 (7530), 447 (12700), 396 (8900) and 315 (11000). IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1232 (N=N), 910 (Re–O, ReO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR [δ (*J*/Hz), CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution]: MeC<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>, 7.29 (d, 1.6, H1), 6.51 (d, 1.7, H2) and 4.01 (s, N–Me); C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Cl, 7.20 (d, 7.0, H7, H9) and 6.15 (d, 7.0, H6, H10).

#### Synthesis of azopyridine [Re(L)<sub>3</sub>]ReO<sub>4</sub>, 4 complexes

These complexes were prepared by the same general procedure based on the reaction of  $[\text{ReOCl}_3(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$  with excess L in benzene solution. Details are given below for a representative case.

 $[\text{Re}(\text{L}^5)_3]$  ReO<sub>4</sub> 4a. To suspension of  $[\text{ReOCl}_3(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$  (100 mg, 0.120 mmol) in 15 cm<sup>3</sup> of benzene was added 132 mg (0.720 mmol) of L<sup>5</sup>. The resulting mixture was warmed at 60°C for 15 min, affording a brownish green solution. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure, and the mass thus obtained dissolved in a small amount of dichloromethane and subjected to chromatography on a silica gel column ( $20 \times 1$  cm, 60-120mesh). A deep green band was eluted out with a benzeneacetonitrile (1:1) mixture. After solvent removal from the eluate  $[\text{Re}(L^5)_3]$ ReO<sub>4</sub> was afforded in pure form. It was finally dried under vacuo over fused calcium chloride. Yield: 62 mg (52%). (Found: C, 40.25; H, 2.72; N, 12.81. Calc. for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>27</sub>N<sub>9</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Re<sub>2</sub>: C, 40.20; H, 2.76; N, 12.78.) UV-vis [λ<sub>max</sub>/nm  $(\varepsilon/dm^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$ , CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution]: 596 (6750), 456 (10050) and 314 (17200). IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1230 (N=N), 910 (Re–O, ReO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR [ $\delta$  (*J*/Hz), CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution]: C<sub>5</sub>N, 8.07 (d, 8.1, H1), 7.52 (t, 8.0, H2), 7.99 (t, 7.6, H3), and 7.81 (d, 5.9, H4); C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, 5.84 (d, 4.0, H7, H11), 7.30 (t, 7.2, H8, H10) and 7.19 (t, 7.7, H9).

[Re(L<sup>6</sup>)<sub>3</sub>]ReO<sub>4</sub> 4b. (Found: C, 36.33; H, 2.18; N, 11.61. Calc. for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>9</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Re<sub>2</sub>: C, 36.38; H, 2.22; N, 11.57.) UV-vis [ $\lambda_{max}$ /nm ( $\epsilon$ /dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution]: 610 (8000), 459 (11800) and 315 (20200). IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1226 (N=N), 907 (Re–O, ReO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR [ $\delta$  (J/Hz), CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution]: C<sub>5</sub>N, 8.06 (d, 8.1, H1), 7.62 (t, 6.5, H2), 7.97 (multiplet, H3) and 7.97 (multiplet, H4); C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Cl, 6.04 (d, 8.7, H7, H11) and 7.23 (d, 8.7, H8, H10).

Crystallography. Single crystals of the complexes 1b, 1j, 2b, and 4a were grown by slow diffusion of hexane into dichloromethane solutions of the respective compounds. Data were collected on a Nicolet R3m/V four circle diffractometer with graphite monochromated Mo–K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å) by the  $\omega$ -scan technique in the range  $3 \le 2\theta/^{\circ} \le 47$  for complexes 1b and 1j and  $3 \le 2\theta/^{\circ} \le 50$  for 2b and  $3 \le 2\theta/^{\circ} \le 55$  for 4a. All the data were corrected for Lorentz-polarization and absorption.<sup>37</sup> The metal atoms were located from Patterson maps and the rest of the non-hydrogen atoms emerged from successive Fourier syntheses. The structures were then refined by a full-matrix least squares procedure on  $F^2$ . All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. All hydrogen atoms were included in calculated positions. Calculations were performed using the SHELXTL™ V 5.03<sup>38</sup> program package. Significant crystal data are listed in Table 5.

CCDC reference numbers 192365 (1b), 192366 (1j), 192367 (2b) and 192368 (4a).

See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/b2/b208338h/ for crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank the Department of Science and Technology, and Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi for financial support. We are thankful to Prof. G. K. Lahiri of Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai.

#### References

- (a) S. Bhattacharyya, S. Banerjee, B. K. Dirghangi, M. Memon and A. Chakravorty, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1999, 155;
   (b) S. Banerjee, B. K. Dirghangi, M. Menon, A. Pramanik and A. Chakravorty, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1997, 2149;
   (c) B. K. Dirghangi, M. Menon, S. Banerjee and A. Chakravorty, Inorg. Chem., 1997, 36, 3595;
   (d) B. K. Dirghangi, M. Menon, A. Pramanik and A. Chakravorty, Inorg. Chem., 1997, 36, 1095.
- 2 F. A. Cotton, G. Wilkinson, C. A. Murillo and M. Bochmann, *Advanced Inorganic Chemistry*, John Wiley and Sons, Inc, New York, 1999.
- 3 F. A. Cotton and R. A. Walton, *Multiple Bonds Between Metal Atoms*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 2nd edn., 1993.
- 4 (a) G. Ciani, D. Giusto, M. Manassero and M. Sansoni, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1975, 2156; (b) G. Ciani, D. Giusto, M. Mnassero and M. Sansoni, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1978, 798; (c) U. Abram, A. Voigt, R. Kirmse, K. Ortner, R. Huebener, R. Carballo and E. Vazquez-Lopez, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 1998, 624, 1662; (d) J. O. Dziegielewski, B. Machura, T. J. Bartczak, W. Czurak, J. Kusz and J. Warczewski, J. Coord. Chem., 1999, 48, 125; (e) A. Voigt, U. Abram and R. Kirmse, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem, 1999, 625, 1658.
- 5 (a) J. D. Allison, P. E. Fanwick and R. A. Walton, *Organometallics*, 1984, **3**, 1515; (b) R. M. Chin, J. Barrera, R. H. Dubois, L. E. Helberg, M. Sabat, T. Y. Bartucz, A. J. Lough, R. H. Morris and W. D. Harman, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1997, **36**, 3553.
- 6 (a) J. P. Collman, J. M. Garner, K. Kim and J. A. Ibers, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1988, **27**, 4513; (b) M. Goeldner, A. Kienast and H. Homborg, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 1998, **624**, 141.
- 7 (a) M. Bakir, P. E. Fanwick and R. A. Walton, *Polyhedron*, 1987, 6, 907; (b) T. A. Salih, M. T. Duarte, J. J. R. Frausto da Silva, A. M. Galvao, M. F. C. Guedes da Silva, P. B. Hitchcock, D. L. Hughes, C. J. Pickett, A. J. L. Pombeiro and R. L. Richards, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1993, 3015.
- 8 M. Stebler, A. Gutierrez, A. Ludi and H. B. Burgi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1987, **26**, 1449.

- 9 S. O. C. Matondo, P. Mountford, D. J. Watkin, W. B. Jones and S. R. Cooper, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1995, 161.
- 10 G. E. D. Mullen, P. J. Blower, D. J. Price, A. K. Powell, M. J. Howard and M. J. Went, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2000, **39**, 4093.
- 11 (a) M. F. C. Guedes da Silva, J. J. R. Frausto da Silva and A. J. L. Pombeiro, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1994, 3299; (b) M. L. Kuznetsov, A. J. L. Pombeiro and A. I. Dementlev, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2000, 4413.
- Representative recent papers: (a) D. R. Striplin and G. A. Crosby, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2001, **211**, 163; (b) L. A. Friedman, S. H. Meiere, B. C. Brooks and W. D. Harman, *Organometallics*, 2001, **20**, 1699; (c) A. J. Di. Bilio, B. R. Crane, W. A. Wehbi, C. N. Kiser, M. M. Abu-Omar, R. M. Carlos, J. A. Richards, J. R. Winkler and H. B. Gray, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 3181; (d) J. B. Cooper, M. G. B. Drew and P. D. Beer, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 2001, 392; (e) S.-S Sun, D. T. Tran, O. S. Odongo and A. J. Lees, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2002, **41**, 132; (f) A. D. Guerzo, L. Leroy, F. Fages and R. H. Schmehl, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2002, **41**, 359; (g) K. Wang, L. Huang, L. Gao, L. Jin and C. Hoang, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2002, **41**, 3353.
- 13 (a) S. Top, H. E. Hafa, A. Vessieres, J. Quivy, J. Vaissermann, D. W. Hughes, M. J. McGlinchey, J. P. Mornon, E. Thoreau and G. Jaouen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 8372; (b) J. R. Dilworth and S. J. Parrott, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1998, 27, 43.
- 14 I. Chakraborty, S. Bhattacharyya, S. Banerjee, B. K. Dirghangi and A. Chakravorty, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1999, 3747.
- 15 S. Bhattacharyya, I. Chakraborty, B. K. Dirghangi and A. Chakravorty, *Chem. Commun.*, 2000, 1813.
- 16 S. Banerjee, S. Bhattacharyya, B. K. Dirghangi, M. Menon and A. Chakravorty, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2000, **39**, 6.
- 17 S. Bhattacharyya, I. Chakraborty, B. K. Dirghangi and A. Chakravorty, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2001, 40, 286.
- 18 R. G. Fargher and L. Pyman, J. Chem. Soc., 1991, 115, 217.
- 19 D. Das, M. K. Nayak and C. Sinha, *Transition Met. Chem.*, 1997, 22, 172.
- 20 B. K. Ghosh, A. Mukhopadhyay, S. Goswami, S. Ray and A. Chakravorty, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1984, 23, 4633.
- 21 B. K. Ghosh, S. Goswami and A. Chakravorty, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1983, 22, 3358.
- 22 P. Byabartta, S. Pal, T. K. Misra, C. Sinha, F.-L. Liao, K. Pannerselvam and T.-H. Lu, J. Coord. Chem., 2002, 55, 479.
- (a) R. A. Krause and K. Krause, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1980, 19, 2600;
   (b) T. Bao, K. Krause and R. A. Krause, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1988, 27, 759.
- 24 (a) S. Goswami, A. R. Chakravarty and A. Chakravorty, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1981, **20**, 2246; (b) S. Goswami, A. R. Chakravarty and A. Chakravorty, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1983, **22**, 602.
- 25 A. H. Velders, H. Kooijman, A. L. Spek, J. G. Haasnoot, D. de Vos and J. Reedijk, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2000, **39**, 2966.
- 26 T. K. Misra, D. Das, C. Sinha, P. Ghosh and C. K. Pal, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1998, 37, 1672.
- 27 I. Chakraborty and S. Sengupta, unpublished results.
- 28 K. Nakamoto, Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds, Theory and Application in Inorganic Chemistry, Part A, John Wiley and Sons, Inc, New York, 5th edn., p. 199.
- 29 A. Abragam and B. Bleaney, *Electron Paramagnetic Resonance of Transition Ions*, Clarendon, Oxford, UK, 1970, p. 163.
- 30 M. Shivakumar, K. Pramanik, P. Ghosh and A. Chakravorty, *Chem. Commun.*, 1998, 2103.
- 31 (a) R. A. Krause and K. Krause, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1982, 21, 1714; (b) A. Seal and S. Ray, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C*, 1984, 40, 932.
- 32 (a) M. Shivakumar, K. Pramanik, P. Ghosh and A. Chakravorty, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1998, **37**, 5968; (b) K. Pramanik, M. Shivakumar, P. Ghosh and A. Chakravorty, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2000, **39**, 195; (c) M. Shivakumar, K. Pramanik, I. Bhattacharyya and A. Chakravorty, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2000, **39**, 4332.
- 33 A. K. Deb, M. Kakoti and S. Goswami, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1991, 3249.
- 34 J. Chatt and G. A. Rowe, J. Chem. Soc., 1962, 4019.
- 35 G. Francociani, G. D'Alfonso, P. Romiti, A. Sironi and M. Freni, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1983, 72, 29.
- 36 A. Pramanik, N. Bag, D. Ray, G. K. Lahiri and A. Chakravorty, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1991, **30**, 410.
- 37 A. C. T. North, D. C. Philips and F. S. Mathews, *Acta Crystallogr.*, Sect. A, 1968, 24, 351.
- 38 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXTL<sup>™</sup> V 5.03, Bruker analytical X-ray systems, Madison, WI, 1994.